



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/792,175	03/03/2004	Andrea Finke-Anlauff	042933/275300	3770

826 7590 11/28/2007
ALSTON & BIRD LLP
BANK OF AMERICA PLAZA
101 SOUTH TRYON STREET, SUITE 4000
CHARLOTTE, NC 28280-4000

EXAMINER

VU, KIEU D

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2173

MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
-----------	---------------

11/28/2007

PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Interview Summary

Application No.

10/792,175

Applicant(s)

FINKE-ANLAUFF ET AL.

Examiner

Kieu D. Vu

Art Unit

2173

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Kieu D. Vu.

(3) _____.

(2) Richard Emery.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 14 November 2007.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.

If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 1 and 27.


Identification of prior art discussed: Rothmuller (WO 02/057959).

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Discuss the rejections of claims 1 and 27 and how Rothmuller's timeline view reads on "providing access to...digital media file ...according to events represented in the timeline" (claim 1) and on "scrolling a timeline" (claim 27).

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.


Kieu D. Vu
Primary Examiner

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required

unofficial**ALSTON & BIRD LLP**

Bank of America Plaza
101 South Tryon Street, Suite 4000
Charlotte, NC 28280-4000

704-444-1000
Fax: 704-444-1111
www.alston.com

TELECOPY**PLEASE DELIVER THE FOLLOWING MATERIAL AS SOON AS POSSIBLE**

<i>Confidentiality Note</i>	
The information contained in this facsimile message is confidential and its contents are intended to be legally privileged information solely for the use of the addressee. If the reader of this message is not the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any dissemination, distribution, copying or other use of this message and its contents is strictly prohibited. If you have received this facsimile in error, please notify us immediately by telephone and return the original message to us at the address shown below via air courier service, the United States Postal Service or your national postal service (air mail). Thank You.	
DATE:	November 9, 2007
TO:	Examiner Kieu Vu
	USPTO
FROM:	Richard D. Emery
MESSAGE: Please call me directly at 704-444-1108 if you need additional information or have any questions. Kind regards, Ric Emery	
NO. OF PAGES: <u>5</u> (Including cover page)	FAX OPERATOR:
USER CODE: CREEJ	FAX NUMBER: (571) 273 4057
CLIENT/MATTER: 042933/275300	INTERNATIONAL:
REQUESTED BY: Judy Creel Ext: 1134	ADDRESSEE TELEPHONE NO.: (571) 272 4057

IF NOT RECEIVED PROPERLY, PLEASE NOTIFY US IMMEDIATELY AT (704) 444-1520

ALSTON & BIRD LLP

Bank of America Plaza
101 South Tryon Street, Suite 4000
Charlotte, NC 28280-4000

704-444-1000
Fax 704-444-1111
www.alston.com

Richard D. Emery, Ph.D., Esq.**Direct Dial 704-444-1108****E-mail: ric.emery@alston.com**

November 14, 2007

EXHIBIT FOR EXAMINER INTERVIEW - PLEASE DISCARD FOLLOWING INTERVIEW

Re: U.S. Patent Application for *Media Diary Incorporating Media and Timeline Views*
[Continuation of 10/715,187; Filed November 17, 2003]
Appl. No. 10/792,175; Filed March 3, 2004
Nokia Ref. NC 28968A US
Our File 042933/275300

I. Claim 1**A. Rejection**

Claim 1 was rejected as being anticipated by International Published Patent Application No. WO 02/057959 to Rothmüller *et al.* ("*Rothmüller*").

B. The Claim

1. A product for providing access to media files on a digital device, the product comprising:
a computer-readable storage medium; and
computer-readable program instructions embodied in the computer-readable storage medium, the computer-readable program instructions comprising:

first instructions for generating a media view that provides access to at least one digital media file and associates the at least one digital media file with a period of time; and

second instructions for generating a timeline view that is presented in combination with the media view and provides access to the at least one digital media file according to periods of time defined in the timeline and according to events represented in the timeline.

C. The Prior Argument

Rothmüller fails to teach "second instructions for generating a timeline view that . . . provides access to the at least one digital media file according to periods of time defined in the timeline and according to events represented in the timeline."

November 9, 2007

Page 2

D. Response in Official Action

The applicant argues that the prior art does not teach or suggest "a timeline view that provides access to at least one digital media file according to periods of time".

In contrast to the applicant's argument, Rothmuller does teach timeline view (fig. 3) showing adjustable time bands (fig. 3: item 251) can be moved to find all photo within timestamp (fig. 3 and page 8, lines 4-13).

Question: how does accessing media files via events represented in the timeline relate to the existence of adjustable time bands?




Fig. 1




Fig. 3

November 9, 2007
Page 3




FIG. 2

November 9, 2007
Page 4

II. Claim 27

A. Rejection

Claim 27 was rejected as being anticipated by International Published Patent Application No. WO 02/057959 to Rothmuller *et al.* ("*Rothmuller*").

B. The Claim (when combined with independent Claim 26)

27. A method for digital media management in a digital device, the method comprising:
receiving, at the digital device, a digital media file having metadata associated with the digital media file;
transmitting the file to a media diary application that associates the digital media file with a period in time based on the metadata;
providing a user access to the digital media file via a media view that displays a representation of the digital media file in connection with the period of time; and
providing the user the ability to locate digital media files within the media view by scrolling a timeline that is displayed in conjunction with the media view.

C. The Prior Argument

Rothmuller fails to teach "providing the user the ability to locate digital media files within the media view by scrolling a timeline that is displayed in conjunction with the media view" as recited in Claim 27. *Rothmuller* discloses only a timeline that includes "time bands" (i.e., markers on a timeline) that can be moved along the timeline to limit the temporal range of consideration when searching for objects in a database, and does not teach or suggest scrolling of a timeline itself.

D. Response in Official Action

The applicant argues that the prior art does not teach or suggest "a timeline that can be scrolled in order to locate media files".

In contrast to the applicant's argument, *Rothmuller* does teach timeline view showing adjustable time bands (fig. 3: item 251) can be moved to find all photo (i. e. media files) within timestamp (fig. 3 and page 8, lines 4-13).

Question: why are the "adjustable time bands" of *Rothmuller* equivalent to the scrolling timeline of the present application?